DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Y: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDIN	
Counts One through Four: 18 U.S.C. § 1708 - Possession of Petty	OAKLAND DIVISION
Stolen Mail Minor	
Misde	MAY 3 0 2019
□ mear	IVIANQUIS DOAFNI ADAIVIS
PENALTY: Maximum Prison Term of Five Years; Maximum Fine of \$250,000 Maximum Term of Supervised Release of Three Years; Mandatory Special Assessment of \$100.	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND OFFICE
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.
	1) X If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
United States Postal Service, Office of Inspector General	-
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY 4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of: U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	5) On another conviction Federal State 6) Awaiting trial on other charges If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
derendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form DAVID L. ANDERSON	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
✓ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) Samantha Schott	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
	— ORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
PROCESS:	ORIMATION OR COMMENTS —
☐ SUMMONS ☐ NO PROCESS* 🕱 WARRANT	Bail Amount: No Bail
If Summons, complete following: ☐ Arraignment ☐ Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Defendant Address:	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
20.011dailt / iddi 000.	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:	

Case 4:19-cr-00250-JD Document 1 Filed 05/30/19 Page 2 of Anite. States **Bistrict**

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VENUE: Oakland

MAY 3 0 2019

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

V.

SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND OFFICE

MARQUIS DOAPRI ADAMS,

CR 19 250

DEFENDANT.

INDICTMENT

18 U.S.C. § 1708 – Possession of Stolen Mail; 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) – Forfeiture Allegation

A true bill.

Foreman

day of HAY 2019

Clerk

1.S. Magistrate Judge

DAVID L. ANDERSON (CABN 149604) 1 United States Attorney 2 3 4 SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND OFFICE 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 OAKLAND DIVISION 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. CASE NO. CR 19 250 12 Plaintiff, VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 1708 – Possession of Stolen Mail: 13 v. 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) – Forfeiture Allegation MARQUIS DOAPRI ADAMS, 14 OAKLAND VENUE 15 Defendant. UNDER SEAL 16 17 18 INDICTMENT 19 The Grand Jury charges: 20 COUNT ONE: (18 U.S.C. § 1708 – Possession of Stolen Mail) 21 On or about May 21, 2016, in the Northern District of California, the defendant, 22 MARQUIS DOAPRI ADAMS, 23 unlawfully had in his possession an Apple iPhone 6S, which had been stolen, taken, embezzled, and 24 abstracted from the mail, knowing said Apple iPhone 6S to have been stolen, taken, embezzled, and 25 abstracted, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1708. 26 // Document No. 27

District Court
Criminal Case Processing

Case 4:19-cr-00250-JD Document 1 Filed 05/30/19 Page

INDICTMENT

28

1	<u>COUNT TWO</u> : (18 U.S.C. § 1708 – Possession of Stolen Mail)
2	On or about July 18, 2016, in the Northern District of California, the defendant,
3	MARQUIS DOAPRI ADAMS,
4	unlawfully had in his possession an Apple MacBook Pro, which had been stolen, taken, embezzled, and
5	abstracted from the mail, knowing said Apple MacBook Pro to have been stolen, taken, embezzled, and
6	abstracted, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1708.
7	COUNT THREE: (18 U.S.C. § 1708 – Possession of Stolen Mail)
8	On or about September 1, 2017, in the Northern District of California, the defendant,
9	MARQUIS DOAPRI ADAMS,
10	unlawfully had in his possession an Apple iPhone 6S, which had been stolen, taken, embezzled, and
11	abstracted from the mail, knowing said Apple iPhone 6S to have been stolen, taken, embezzled, and
12	abstracted, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1708.
13	COUNT FOUR: (18 U.S.C. § 1708 – Possession of Stolen Mail)
14	On or about November 21, 2017, in the Northern District of California, the defendant,
15	MARQUIS DOAPRI ADAMS,
16	unlawfully had in his possession an Apple iPad which had been stolen, taken, embezzled, and abstracted
17	from the mail, knowing said Apple iPad to have been stolen, taken, embezzled, and abstracted, in
18	violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1708.
19	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION: (18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c))
20	The allegations contained in Counts One through Four of this Indictment are re-alleged and
21	incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
22	Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
23	Upon conviction of the offenses set forth in this Indictment, the defendant,
24	MARQUIS DOAPRI ADAMS,
25	shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and
26	Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), any property, real or personal, which constitutes or is
27	derived from proceeds traceable to the offense.
28	If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:

2

Case 4:19-cr-00250-JD Document 1 Filed 05/30/19 Page 5 of 5

1	a.	cannot be located upon	exercise of d	ue diligence;					
2	b.	has been transferred or	sold to, or de	posited with,	a third part	y;			
3	c.	has been placed beyone	d the jurisdict	ion of the cou	rt;				
4	d.	has been substantially	diminished in	value; or					
5	e.	has been commingled v	with other pro	perty which c	annot be di	vided with	out		
6		difficulty,							
7	the United States of	America shall be entitled	to forfeiture	of substitute p	roperty pur	suant to Ti	tle 21,		
8	United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).								
9	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), Title 28, United States Code								
10	Section 2461(c), and	Federal Rule of Crimina	al Procedure 3	2.2.					
11									
12	DATED:			A TRUE BII	LL.				
13	5/30/19	3		VI					
14	, , ,			EODEDEDS	ON				
15				TOKEI EKS	OI V				
16	DAVID L. ANDERS United States Attorne								
17									
18									
19	SAMANTHA SCHO Special Assistant Un								
20		•							
21									
22									
23									
24	70								
25									

INDICTMENT